Properties of Eventually Positive Linear Input-Output Systems

Aivar Sootla

arXiv:1509.08392v2 [math.OC] 6 Apr 2016

***Abstract*—In this paper, we consider the systems with trajectories originating in the nonnegative orthant becoming nonnegative after some finite time transient. First we consider dynamical systems (i.e., fully observable systems with no inputs), which we call eventually positive. We compute forward-invariant cones and Lyapunov functions for these systems. We then extend the notion of eventually positive systems to the input-output system case. Our extension is performed in such a manner, that some valuable properties of classical internally positive input-output systems are preserved. For example, their induced norms can be computed using linear programming and the energy functions have nonnegative derivatives.**

I. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper we consider linear time-invariant input-output system of the following form

$$G = \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx + Du \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In many practical applications (such as economics [1] or biology [2]) the inputs, outputs and the states are constrained to be nonnegative, which is guided by the physical interpretation of the model. In order to formally define these systems we need a few notations and definitions. Let $B \geq 0$ for $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$ denote the matrix with nonnegative entries, i.e., $B_{ij} \geq 0$. Let similarly $B > 0$, if $B_{ij} \geq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, and $B \gg 0$, if $B_{ij} > 0$.

Definition 1: A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is called a *Metzler* matrix if there exist $E \geq 0$ and $s > 0$ such that $A = E - sI$. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ is called *reducible*, if there exist a permutation T and an integer k such that

$$TAT^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & G \end{pmatrix},$$

where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$, $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k\times n-k}$. If no such integer k and a permutation T exists, then a matrix is called *irreducible*.

Definition 2: A realization of a linear system is called *internally positive*, if for any initial condition $x_0 \geq 0$, and any control signal $u(t) \geq 0$, we have that $y(t) \geq 0$ and $x(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Internally positive systems enforce certain constraints on the matrices A, B, C, D, which can be quantified using the Perron-Frobenius theory [3]. It can be shown that A is a Metzler matrix if and only if e^{At} is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$. It is now straightforward to show that the trajectories and the outputs of the system (1) are nonnegative for nonnegative control signals, if A is Metzler, $B \geq 0$, $C \geq 0$, and $D \geq 0$. Positive systems have received a considerable attention in the literature with numerous strong results in dynamical systems [4], control design [5], distributed control [6], [7]. A related class of systems are the so-called *externally positive* systems.

Definition 3: A linear system is called *externally positive* if for a zero initial condition, any control signal $u(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, the output $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Characterizations of externally positive systems through state-space matrices has been derived in [8], and recently received an inflow of new ideas in [9]. Therein the author builds realizations of externally positive systems using the concept of *eventual positivity*. This means that the drift matrices are such that trajectories of $\dot{x} = Ax$ are only *asymptotically* or eventually nonnegative. This realization has some properties relating to internally positive systems, which is certainly an advantage.

In this paper, we first study dynamical systems $\dot{x} = Ax$ generating asymptotically nonnegative trajectories and call these systems *eventually positive*. In particular, we derive forward-invariant cones for these systems and construct Lyapunov functions on these cones. We then define an input-output analogue of the eventually positive systems and show that these systems possess some properties of internally positive systems, as well. We remark that eventually positive systems were also studied in the context of consensus algorithms [10].

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section II we reformulate the results on eventual positivity in the context of systems theory. In Section III, we perform stability analysis and design Lyapunov functions for eventually positive systems. In Section IV, we discuss properties of input-output eventual positive systems.

II. Eventually Positive Linear Systems

A. (Strongly) Eventually Positive Dynamical Systems

In this section, we present a characterization of the following class of linear dynamical systems.

Definition 4: The system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is *eventually positive* if for any $x \geq 0$ there exists $\tau_0 \geq 0$ such that $\phi(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$. The system is *strongly eventually positive* if for any $x > 0$ there exists $\tau_0 \geq 0$ such that $\phi(t, x) \gg 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$. We say that τ_0 is the exponential index of A.

With $\tau_0 = 0$ we obtain the definitions of positivity and strong positivity. As we discussed above positive systems can be characterized by having a Metzler matrix. A characterization of (strongly) eventually positive systems requires a slightly different set of results and definitions from linear

The author is with Montefiore Institute, University of Liège, B-4000, Belgium asootla @ulg.ac.be.

A. Sootla holds an F.R.S–FNRS fellowship and thanks Dr Alexandre Mauroy for valuable discussions.

algebra, which we need to introduce first. In particular, we will use strong spectral properties that are described by the celebrated Perron-Frobenius theorem. The Perron-Frobenius theorem states that (1) any irreducible nonnegative matrix A has a simple positive eigenvalue with positive eigenvectors and (2) this eigenvalue is equal to the spectral radius of the matrix A. In the linear algebra literature (cf. [11]), a square matrix A with a spectral radius $\rho(A)$ is said to possess *the (weak) Perron-Frobenius property* if there exists nonzero and nonnegative vector v such that $Av = \rho(A)v$. A matrix A with a spectral radius $\rho(A)$ possess the *strong Perron-Frobenius property* if $\rho(A)$ is a simple, positive eigenvalue and there exists a strictly positive vector v such that $Av = \rho(A)v$. As in [11], we denote by $\mathrm{WPF_n}$ (respectively, $\mathrm{PF_n}$) the class of matrices A such that A and A^T possess the Perron-Frobenius property (respectively, the strong Perron-Frobenius property). Clearly, some matrices that are not nonnegative can still possess the Perron-Frobenius property. For instance, any symmetric matrix A is similar to a matrix which possesses the Perron-Frobenius property under a transformation $T = \mathrm{diag}([(-1)^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, (-1)^{\varepsilon_n}])$, where $\varepsilon_i = \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, more general matrices can also satisfy the strong Perron-Frobenius property. For example, if there is a few small negative entries in a matrix, it may possess the Perron-Frobenius property. This implies another connection to positive matrices. We say that the matrix A is *eventually positive (nonnegative)* if there exists a positive integer K such that, for all $k \geq K$, the matrices A^k are positive (nonnegative). For the sake of completeness, the relationship between these classes of matrices is summarized by the following inclusions.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PF_n} = \{\text{Eventually positive}\} \subset \\ \{\text{Nonnilpotent, eventually nonnegative}\} \subset \mathrm{WPF_n} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where A is nilpotent if there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^k = 0$. Every inclusion is shown to be strict by finding a suitable counterexample [11]. The properties of these classes are studied in [11], where it is shown that the sets of matrices $\mathrm{PF_n}$ and $\mathrm{WPF_n}$ are simply connected, but the closure of $\mathrm{PF_n}$ is not equal to $\mathrm{WPF_n}$. A final remark on the properties of the class $\mathrm{PF_n}$ is that if there exists a K such that A^k is an irreducible nonnegative matrix for all $k \geq K$ then $A \in \mathrm{PF_n}$ [12]. Hence, some notion of irreducibility is included in the definition of eventually positive matrices.

Now we will show how to use Perron-Frobenius property to characterize eventually positive systems. We make a standing assumption that the drift matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ has n linearly independent right and left eigenvectors. We also denote by λ_i the eigenvalues of A with multiplicities μ_i.

Proposition 1: Consider the system $\dot{x} = Ax$. Then:

(i) if the system is eventually positive, then there exist a real λ_1 such that $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_i)$ for all i, and nonnegative right and left eigenvectors v^1, w^1 corresponding to λ_1;

(ii) the system is strongly eventually positive if and only if there exists a simple (i.e. $\mu_1 = 1$) and real λ_1 such that $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_i)$ for all i, and the corresponding right and left eigenvectors v^1, w^1 are positive.

We will refer to such λ_1 as *dominant eigenvalue*. Note that eventual positivity of $\dot{x} = Ax$ rules out a complex eigenvalue λ_j for some j such that $\lambda_1 = \Re(\lambda_j)$. The proof is in Appendix A. Proposition 1 establishes that strong eventual positivity is an eigenvector condition on the matrix A, which is also necessary and sufficient. The eventual positivity lacks sufficiency, which is consistent with the inclusions in (2). We must stress that this result is not strictly speaking novel. It could be seen as a mere reformulation of the results in the dynamical systems language rather than the linear algebra language used in [13], [14].

B. (Eventual) Positivity with Respect to a Cone

Besides positive matrices with respect to orthants, there exist so-called *$\mathcal{K}$-positive matrices*. A matrix A is called $\mathcal{K}$-positive or positive with respect to a cone $\mathcal{K}$ if for any $t \geq 0$ we have $e^{At}\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, for example, Metzler matrices are $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$-positive. It is well-known that any matrix A with a simple and real dominant eigenvalue leaves a so-called ice-cream cone invariant (cf. [15], [16]). Here we will discuss $\mathcal{K}$-positivity of eventually positive systems. In [13], the authors studied the properties of the cone $\mathcal{K} = e^{A\tau_0}\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, where τ_0 is the exponential index of eventually positive system $\dot{x} = Ax$. The cone $\mathcal{K}$ attracts all trajectories originating in an invariant set containing the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. However, in general, a strongly eventually positive system is not invariant with respect to $\mathcal{K}$. The flow of the system can enter and leave $\mathcal{K}$ several times, but eventually it remains in $\mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, it was shown that a strongly eventually positive system is invariant with respect to $e^{A\tau_0}\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, only if the exponential index τ_0 is equal to 0. In [10], the authors build an hierarchy of nested polyhedral cones $\mathcal{K}^i_p \subset \mathcal{K}^{i+1}_p$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with respect to which A is positive. The construction is such that $v^1 \in \mathcal{K}^i_p$ for all i and v^1 is the right dominant eigenvector of A. This implies that there exists a finite i such that $\mathcal{K}^i_p \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, and similar result holds for a dual cone $(\mathcal{K}^i_p)^* \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. These cones can be constructed using, e.g, [9], [17]. However, to our best knowledge it is hard to construct a polyhedral cone, which explicitly depends on the eigenspace of the matrix. Here we construct specific ice-creams using eigenvectors of the matrix. We will study positivity with respect to the following set:

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |(w^i)^T y|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (w^1)^T y \right\}, \tag{3}$$

where w^i are left eigenvectors of the drift matrix A, $\alpha_i > 0$ and are chosen a priori. Every set $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is an ice-cream cone subject to a transformation $T = \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & \sqrt{\alpha_2}w^2 & \cdots & \sqrt{\alpha_n}w^n \end{pmatrix}^T$, which is invertible since w^i are linearly independent. It is always possible to find α^+ and α^- such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^+} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-},$$

since $\mathcal{K}_\infty = \{y | y = \beta v^1, \beta > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{K}_0 = \{y | (w^1)^T y \geq 0\}$. Now we can reformulate strong eventual positivity in terms of the cones $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ using the following result with the proof in Appendix A:

Proposition 2: Consider the system $\dot{x} = Ax$. Let λ_1 be simple, real and negative and $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Then:

(i) the system is $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$-positive for any positive vector α;

(ii) the system is strongly eventually positive if and only if there exist positive vectors β and γ such that $\mathcal{K}_\beta \subset \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$, and $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subset \mathrm{int}(\mathcal{K}_\gamma)$.

It is straightforward to show that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{At}\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \mathcal{K}_\infty$. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{K}_\infty$ acts as an attractor for all the trajectories originating in the set $\{x\big|(w^1)^T x > 0\}$. The trajectories originating in the set $\{x\big|(w^1)^T x < 0\}$ are attracted by the orthant $-\mathcal{K}_\infty$. We conclude this subsection by the following corollary from Proposition 2 with the proof in Appendix A.

Corollary 1: Consider the system $\dot{x} = Ax$. Let λ_1 be simple, real and negative and $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 2$, then there exists an invertible matrix S such that the the system $\dot{z} = Az$ is strongly eventually $S\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$-positive, while $\dot{z} = S^{-1}ASz$ is strongly eventually positive.

III. Stability Analysis of Strongly Eventually Positive Systems

A. Lyapunov Functions for Strongly Eventually Positive Systems

We first remind the reader of some specific Lyapunov functions for positive systems (cf. [6]).

Proposition 3: Let $\dot{x} = Ax$ be a positive system. Then

(i) the matrix A is asymptotically stable if and only if there exists positive vectors ξ, η such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$ or $A\eta \ll 0$;

(ii) for any positive vector ξ such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$, the function $V_s(x) = \xi^T x$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$;

(iii) for any positive vector η such that $A\eta \ll 0$, the function $V_m(x) = \max\{x/\eta_1, \ldots, x/\eta_n\}$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$;

(iv) for any positive vectors ξ and η such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$, $A\eta \ll 0$, the function $V_d = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i/\eta_i x_i$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

As in the case of positive systems, strong eventual positivity allows for very simple Lyapunov functions. Recall that a positive system is invariant with respect to a positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. Therefore intersections of the level sets of some known Lyapunov functions with the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ will yield invariant sets. In the case of eventual positivity, $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ is not necessarily forward-invariant, therefore we need to use $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$'s.

Proposition 4: Let $\dot{x} = Ax$ be a strongly eventually positive system, let λ_1 be a simple eigenvalue of A such that $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \geq 2$ and let v^1, w^1 be the right and left eigenvectors of A corresponding to λ_1. Then

(i) the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is asymptotically stable if and only if there exists a positive vector ξ such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$;

(ii) for any positive vector ξ such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$ and any $\alpha_i > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ the function $V_s(x) = \xi^T x$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$. Moreover, the function $V_s(x) = x^T w^1$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ for any $\alpha_i > 0$ including values for which $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\alpha$;

(iii) there exists $\alpha_i > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $V_m(x) = \max\{x_1/v^1_1, \ldots, x_n/v^1_n\}$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

Proof: (i) This result is taken from [14], while the proof is presented for completeness.

Necessity. If the system is asymptotically stable, then we can pick $\xi = w^1$, and $(w^1)^T A = \lambda_1 (w^1)^T \ll 0$.

Sufficiency. Let $\xi \gg 0$ be such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$, then $\lambda_1 \xi^T v^1 = \xi^T A v^1$. Since $\xi^T v^1$, v^1 are positive and $\xi^T A$ is negative, the eigenvalue λ_1 has to be negative. Since λ_1 is the dominant eigenvalue, the matrix A is asymptotically stable.

(ii) We have that $V_s(x) > 0$ for all x on $\{x|\xi^T x > 0\}$. Furthermore, $\dot{V}_s(x) = \xi^T A x < 0$ for all $x \in \{x|\xi^T A x < 0\}$. It is straightforward to verify that the set $\mathcal{D} = \{x|\xi^T A x < 0\} \cap \{x|\xi^T x > 0\}$ contains $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}\backslash\{0\}$ for all $\xi \gg 0$ such that $\xi^T A \ll 0$. Since $V_s(0) = 0$, $V_s(x)$ is a Lyapunov function on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ for any $\alpha_i > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. The second part of the statement is straightforward.

(iii) Note that if the maximum of $V_m(x)$ at time t is achieved at the index i, then $\dot{V}_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j/v^1_j$. Consider the sets

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \Big| \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j < 0 \ \forall i \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \Big| x_j/v^1_j \leq x_i/v^1_i \right\},$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It is clear that the ray $\{x|x = \beta v^1\}$ for all $\beta > 0$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_i$ for some i and also lies in $\mathcal{D}_0$. Therefore there exists $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ with large enough $\alpha_i > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}_i$ for some i, x also belongs to $\mathcal{D}_0$. Therefore, $V_m(x)$ is indeed a Lyapunov function. ∎

Proposition 4 shows that, for eventually positive systems, there exist sum-separable functions $V_s(x)$ on invariant sets containing $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. This is not the case for the so-called max-separable Lyapunov functions $V_m = \max\{x_1/\zeta_1, \ldots x_n/\zeta_n\}$, where $\zeta \gg 0$ and $A\zeta \ll 0$. Similarly, sum-separable Lyapunov functions of the form $V_d(x) = \sum_{i=1} p_i x_i^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ may not exist. In order to explain this phenomenon consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & 10 & 4 \\ -7 & 2 & 12 \\ 3 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

for which the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is strongly eventually positive.

Note that V_d is a Lyapunov function if and only the matrix $A^T P + PA$ is negative definite with $P = \mathrm{diag}\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. Since the entry $\{2, 2\}$ is positive, there exists no diagonal matrix P such that $AP + PA^T$ is negative definite. The argument for the max-separable function $V_m(x)$ is similar but more subtle. Let at time t the maximum be attained at the index i and let the function be differentiable at x. Then $\dot{V}_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j/\zeta_i$ should be negative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that $x_i/\zeta_i > x_j/\zeta_j$, which includes e^i, hence $A_{ii} < 0$. These observations constitute a striking difference to the case of positive systems, for which Lyapunov functions $V_s(x)$, $V_m(x)$, and $V_d(x)$ always exist provided the system is asymptotically stable.

IV. Eventually Positive Input-Output Systems

In this section, we consider the control systems (1) and introduce systems, which *eventually* behave like internally positive systems. We called them *internally eventually positive systems*, but we note that these systems were also studied in [9] and called *eventually positive realizations*.

Definition 5: A realization of a linear system is called *internally eventually positive*, if for any initial condition $x_0 \geq 0$, and any control signal $u(t) \geq 0$, we have that $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and there exists τ_0 such that $x(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$.

The complete characterization of such systems is provided by the following result. This result is quite similar to the previous developments in [9] and [8].

Proposition 5: Consider the system (1) with $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times n}$, and let $D = 0$. The system is internally eventually positive if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) the system $\dot{z} = Az$ is eventually positive;

(ii) $e^{At}B \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$;

(iii) $Ce^{At} \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof: Necessity. Let the system be internally eventually positive. Then there exists τ_0 such that $\phi(t, x_0, 0) = e^{At}x_0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$ and $x_0 \geq 0$. This implies that $e^{At} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$ and consequently the system $\dot{z} = Az$ is eventually positive. The flow $Ce^{At}x_0$ has to be nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$, hence Ce^{At} is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$ and (iii) is shown. It is left to we verify the condition (ii). Let $x_0 = 0$, then we have

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \geq 0$$

and since this holds for all $u \geq 0$, we have $e^{At}B \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Sufficiency. Decompose the flow of the system into:

$$y(t) = Cx(t) = Ce^{At}x_0 + C\underbrace{\int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau}_{I(t)}.$$

The integral $I(t)$ is nonnegative for all t, since $e^{A(t-\tau)}B$, $u(\tau)$ are nonnegative for all $t \geq \tau \geq 0$ due to condition (ii). The vector $e^{At}x_0$ is nonnegative for all $t \geq \tau_0$, where τ_0 is the exponential index of $\dot{z} = Az$, hence $x(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$.

The matrix C is nonnegative due to (iii), hence $CI(t)$ is also nonnegative. Finally, since Ce^{At} is nonnegative for $t \geq 0$, the function $y(t)$ is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$. ■

As we mentioned before, we cannot easily check if the system is eventually positive, since we have only necessary conditions. Moreover, checking conditions (ii) and (iii) may be computationally hard. Alternatively, we can use the following corollary.

Corollary 2: Consider the system (1), let D be nonnegative, let the system $\dot{z} = Az$ be strongly eventually positive with τ_0 being its exponential index. Let every column of B (respectively, C^T) lie in the set $e^{A\tau_0}\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ (respectively, $e^{A^T\tau_0}\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$). Then the system (1) is internally eventually positive.

We had to sacrifice some freedom in the choice of B, and C matrices, however, we were able to obtain more freedom in the choice of the drift matrix A. Hence for every fixed τ_0, our class of internally eventually positive systems is not strictly smaller nor strictly larger than the class of internally positive systems. At the same time the union over all $\tau_0 \geq 0$ provides a much larger class than the class of positive systems. A larger class of systems are the so-called *externally positive systems* (see Definition 3). It is well-known that if the system is externally positive then its impulse response $Ce^{At}B + D$ is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$. It is also known that the static gain $G(0) = D - CA^{-1}B$ is a positive matrix. Furthermore, we can use this static gain $G(0)$ in order to compute the norms of such systems [6]. Let $g(t) = (Ce^{At}B + D)\delta(t)$, then the p-induced norm of G is defined as follows:

$$\|G\|_{\mathrm{p-ind}} = \sup_{u\in\mathbb{L}_p^m[0,\infty]} \frac{\|g * u\|_p}{\|u\|_p},$$

where $\|u(t)\|_p = (\sum_k \int_0^\infty |u_k(t)|^p)^{1/p}$. The following remarkable result establishes straightforward (algebraic) computations of such norms:

Proposition 6 (Theorem 3 in [6]): Let $g(t) = (Ce^{At}B + D)\delta(t)$, where $Ce^{At}B \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $D \geq 0$, while A is Hurwitz. Then $\|g\|_{\mathrm{p-ind}} = \|G(0)\|_{\mathrm{p-ind}}$ for $p = 1$, $p = 2$, $p = \infty$. In particular, if g is scalar, then $\|g\|_{\mathrm{p-ind}} = G(0)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Externally positive systems were studied in [8], [16], while in [9] eventually internally positive realizations of externally positive systems were constructed. Internally eventually positive systems are designed to retain some properties of the eventually positive dynamical systems. Hence, we expect some properties of internally positive systems to translate to the case of internally eventually positive systems. We will cover these properties in what follows.

A. Energy Functions

In the context of model reduction we study the so-called energy functions

$$\mathcal{Q}_p = \|y\|_{\mathrm{L}_p[0,+\infty)}, \quad \mathcal{C}_p = \inf_{\substack{u\in\mathrm{L}_p(-\infty,0],\\ u(\cdot)\geq 0\\ x(0)=x_0,\\ x(-\infty)=0}} \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_p(-\infty,0]}.$$

In the context of internally positive systems the energy functions for $p = 1, \infty$ were studied in [18], while the case $p = 2$ was studied in [19]. One of the properties used in both papers was that A has a nonpositive inverse and e^{At} is nonnegative for all $t > 0$, which does not hold for internally eventually positive systems. But we still have the following result.

Proposition 7: Let the system (1) be internally eventually positive with an asymptotically stable A. Then the matrices $-A^{-1}B$ and $-CA^{-1}$ are nonnegative.

Proof: It is straightforward to verify that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{At}Bdt = A^{-1}e^{At}\Big|_0^\infty B = -A^{-1}B$$

Since $e^{At}B$ is nonnegative for all t, the integral, which is equal to $-A^{-1}B$, is nonnegative as well. The fact that $-CA^{-1}$ is nonnegative is shown in a similar manner. ■

Note that the vector $-A^{-1}Bu_0$ for any u_0 can be seen as a steady state response toward the control signal u_0. Hence naturally, if $-A^{-1}B$ is nonnegative, then the steady state response to a nonnegative input is nonnegative. Therefore, we have an externally positive system such that its state $x(t)$ is asymptotically positive. The matrix $-CA^{-1}$ has of course a control theoretic dual interpretation to $-A^{-1}B$. Another

interpretation of these matrices is through the defined above energy functions. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times 1}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times n}$ and compute the observability energy function $\mathcal{O}_1$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \|y\|_{\mathrm{L}_1[0,+\infty)} = \int_0^{+\infty} |Ce^{At}x_0|dt = -CA^{-1}x_0,$$

while for $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ we only have a lower bound. Let p be the vector such that $-p^TA^{-1}B = 1$. Then using straightforward computations we have

$$p^Tx_0 = p^T \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{At}Bu(t)dt \leq$$
$$p^T \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{At}Bdt \cdot \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_\infty(-\infty,0]} = \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_\infty(-\infty,0]},$$

and hence $\mathcal{C}_\infty \geq p^Tx_0$. The equality cannot be achieved for all x_0, since some states are not reachable with positive control signals and hence $\mathcal{C}_\infty = +\infty$.

We can also devise some properties of the classical energy functions $\mathcal{O}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, which are computed as

$$\mathcal{O}_2(x_0) = \langle x_0, Qx_0\rangle^{1/2} \quad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0, \quad \text{(4a)}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_2(x_0) = \langle x_0, P^{-1}x_0\rangle^{1/2} \quad PA^T + AP + BB^T = 0. \quad \text{(4b)}$$

The solutions to (4a), (4b) have the following integral forms:

$$P = \int_0^{\infty} e^{At}BB^Te^{A^Tt}dt, \quad Q = \int_0^{\infty} e^{A^Tt}C^TCe^{At}dt.$$

Since the system is eventually internally positive, the matrix $e^{At}B$ is nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$, therefore P is a nonnegative matrix. Moreover, if $\dot{x} = Ax$ is strongly eventually positive there exists a time τ_0 such that e^{At} is a positive matrix for all $t \geq \tau_0$. If the matrix BB^T is nonnegative and nonzero, then the matrix $e^{At}BB^Te^{A^Tt}$ is irreducible and nonnegative for all $t \geq \tau_0$. This implies that P is nonnegative and irreducible under the premise of Corollary 2. Similarly we can show that solution Q to (4b) is also nonnegative and irreducible.

All these simple observations imply that there exists a reduced order positive system for any internally eventually positive system using the same derivations used in [18], [19].

B. Induced Norms

It is clear that Proposition 6 still holds and the norms can be computed using linear algebra, since we are dealing with an externally positive system. Moreover, using Proposition 7, the following result follows by repeating the derivations in [5] in the context of internally eventually positive systems.

Proposition 8: Let a realization of the system G satisfy the premise of Corollary 2 and hence be internally eventually positive. Then

(i) the matrix A is Hurwitz and $\|G\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$ if and only if there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \ll \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

(ii) the matrix A is Hurwitz and $\|G\|_{1-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$ if and only if there exists $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \ll \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Proof: (i) Let the matrix A be Hurwitz and $\|G\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$. Due to Proposition 6 we have that $\|G(0)\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$ or $\|D - CA^{-1}B\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$. Therefore, if the matrix A is Hurwitz, the condition $\|G\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$ is fulfilled if and only if

$$(D - CA^{-1}B)\mathbf{1} \ll \gamma\mathbf{1}. \quad (7)$$

Necessity. Since A is Hurwitz, there exists $x \gg 0$ such that $Ax \ll 0$ and let $\zeta = x - A^{-1}B\mathbf{1}$. According to Proposition 7, $-A^{-1}B$ is nonnegative, therefore we have $\zeta \geq x \gg 0$, which implies that $A\zeta + B\mathbf{1} = Ax \ll 0$. Due to (7), for a sufficiently small x we get $(D + C(x - A^{-1}B))\mathbf{1} \ll \gamma\mathbf{1}$ and hence $C\zeta + D\mathbf{1} \ll \gamma\mathbf{1}$. Therefore, the inequality follows (5).

Sufficiency. Let the inequality (5) hold. The inequality $A\zeta + B\mathbf{1} \ll 0$ implies that A is Hurwitz. Multiplying $A\zeta + B\mathbf{1} \ll 0$ with a nonpositive CA^{-1} (according to Proposition 7) from the left gives $C\zeta + CA^{-1}B\mathbf{1} \geq 0$. Subtracting this from the inequality $C\zeta + D\mathbf{1} \ll \gamma\mathbf{1}$ gives (7) and consequently $\|G\|_{\infty-\mathrm{ind}} < \gamma$.

The point (ii) is shown in a similar manner. ■

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigate the properties of so-called eventually (internally) positive systems, which is a generalization of (internally) positive systems. We show that some properties enabled by positive systems are also valid in the eventual positive case.

References

[1] W. Leontief, *Input-output economics*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 1986.

[2] M. Hirsch, H. Smith, *et al.*, "Monotone dynamical systems," *Handbook of differential equations: ordinary differential equations*, vol. 2, pp. 239–357, 2005.

[3] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. SIAM, 1994, vol. 9.

[4] L. Farina and S. Rinaldi, *Positive linear systems: theory and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.

[5] C. Briat, "Robust stability and stabilization of uncertain linear positive systems via integral linear constraints: L1-gain and l-gain characterization," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 23, no. 17, pp. 1932–1954, 2013.

[6] A. Rantzer, "Scalable control of positive systems," *European Journal of Control*, vol. 24, pp. 72–80, 2015.

[7] T. Tanaka and C. Langbort, "The bounded real lemma for internally positive systems and h-infinity structured static state feedback," *IEEE transactions on automatic control*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 2218–2223, 2011.

[8] B. Anderson, M. Deistler, L. Farina, and L. Benvenuti, "Nonnegative realization of a linear system with nonnegative impulse response," *IEEE Trans Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 134–142, 1996.

[9] C. Altafini, "Representing externally positive systems through minimal eventually positive realizations," in *Proc IEEE Conf Decision Control*, 2015.

[10] C. Altafini and G. Lini, "Predictable dynamics of opinion forming for networks with antagonistic interactions," *IEEE Trans Autom Control*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 342–357, 2015.

[11] A. Elhashash and D. Szyld, "On general matrices having the Perron–Frobenius property," *Electron J Linear Al*, vol. 17, pp. 389–413, 2008.

[12] B. Zaslavsky and B.-S. Tam, "On the Jordan form of an irreducible matrix with eventually nonnegative powers," *Linear Algebra Appl*, vol. 302, pp. 303–330, 1999.

[13] D. Noutsos and M. J. Tsatsomeros, "Reachability and holdability of nonnegative states," *SIAM J Matrix Anal A*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 700–712, 2008.

[14] D. Olesky, M. Tsatsomeros, and P. van den Driessche, "M_v-matrices: A generalization of M-matrices based on eventually nonnegative matrices," *Electron J Linear Al*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 29, 2009.

[15] R. Stern and H. Wolkowicz, "Exponential nonnegativity on the ice cream cone," *SIAM J Matrix Anal A*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 160–165, 1991.

[16] C. Grussler and A. Rantzer, "Modified balanced truncation preserving ellipsoidal cone-invariance," in *Proc IEEE Conf Decision Control*, Dec 2014, pp. 2365–2370.

[17] M. Valcher and L. Farina, "An algebraic approach to the construction of polyhedral invariant cones," *SIAM J Matrix Anal A*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 453–471, 2000.

[18] A. Sootla and A. Rantzer, "Scalable positivity preserving model reduction using linear energy functions," in *Proc IEEE Conf Decision Control*, Dec. 2012, pp. 4285–4290.

[19] C. Grussler and T. Damm, "A symmetry approach for balanced truncation of positive linear systems," in *51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Maui, Hi, USA, Dec. 2012, pp. 4308–4313.

APPENDIX A
PROOFS

For the proof of Proposition 1, we need the following result from [13].

Proposition 9: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$. Then:
(i) Let there exists a $\tau_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq \tau_0$, the matrix e^{tA} is nonnegative, then there exists a scalar a such that $A + aI$ is a $\mathrm{WPF_n}$ matrix;
(ii) There exists a $\tau_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq \tau_0$, the matrix e^{tA} is positive if and only if there exists a scalar a such that $A + aI$ is a $\mathrm{PF_n}$ matrix.

Proof of Proposition 1: Let λ_1 be the eigenvalue of A such that $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_j)$ for all ≥ 2.

(i) If the flow $\phi(t,x) = e^{At}x$ is nonnegative for all $t \geq \tau_0$ for any nonnegative x, then e^{At} is nonnegative for all $t \geq \tau_0$. By Proposition 9 there exists a scalar a such that $A + aI$ is a $\mathrm{WPF_n}$ matrix. This directly implies that there exist nonnegative right and left eigenvectors v^1 and w^1 corresponding to λ_1.

(ii) *Necessity.* If the flow $\phi(t,x) = e^{At}x$ is positive for all $t \geq \tau_0$ for any nonnegative, nonzero x, then e^{At} is positive for all $t \geq \tau_0$. By Proposition 9 there exists a scalar a such that $A+aI$ is a $\mathrm{PF_n}$ matrix. This directly implies that λ_1 is simple, and the right and left eigenvectors v^1 and w^1 corresponding to λ_1 are positive.

Sufficiency. In order to simplify the presentation assume that all eigenvalues are λ_i are simple, and let v^i, w^i be the right and left eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_i. Let v^1, w^1 be positive and λ_1 be real and $R(t,x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} e^{(\lambda_i-\lambda_1)t}(v^i)(w^i)^T x$. Then we have

$$\phi(t,x) = e^{At}x = e^{\lambda_1 t}\left(v^1(w^1)^T x + R(t,x)\right).$$

Since v^1, w^1 are positive and $\Re(\lambda_i) < \lambda_1$ for all i, there exists a time τ_0 such that $R(t,x) \ll v^1(w^1)^T x$ for all $t \geq \tau_0$. Hence we have that $\phi(t,x) \gg 0$. ∎

Proof of Proposition 2: (i) In order to simplify the presentation assume that all eigenvalues are λ_i are simple. Let $y = e^{At}x$ for $t > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&((w^1)^T y)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |(w^i)^T y|^2 \\
&\quad = ((w^1)^T e^{At}x)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |(w^i)^T e^{At}x|^2 \\
&\quad = e^{2\lambda_1 t}((w^1)^T x)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |e^{\lambda_i t}|^2 |(w^i)^T x|^2 \\
&\quad = e^{2\lambda_1 t}\Big(((w^1)^T x)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |e^{(\lambda_i-\lambda_1)t}|^2 |(w^i)^T x|^2\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 > \Re(\lambda_i)$ for all $i > 1$ we have that $|e^{(\lambda_i-\lambda_1)t}|^2 < 1$ for all $t > 0$, which in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&((w^1)^T y)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |(w^i)^T y|^2 \geq \\
&\qquad e^{2\lambda_1 t}\left(((w^1)^T x)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i |(w^i)^T x|^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and $y = e^{At}x$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ if x does.

(ii) *Necessity.* Since $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{y | (w^1)^T y \geq 0\} \supset \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$, there exists γ small enough that that $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{y|(w^1)^T y \geq 0\} \supset \mathcal{K}_\gamma \supset \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$. Similarly, there exists β large enough such that $\mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}) \supset \mathcal{K}_\beta \supset \mathcal{K}_\infty = \{y | y = \beta v^1, \beta > 0\}$.

Sufficiency. We have that $\mathcal{K}_\infty \subset \mathcal{K}_\beta \subset \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$, hence the vector v^1 is contained in $\mathcal{K}_\beta$, which entails that the condition $\mathcal{K}_\beta \subset \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$ ensures positivity of the eigenvector v^1. Now let $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \subset \mathrm{int}(\mathcal{K}_\gamma)$ for a positive γ. Hence the scalars $(w^1)^T e^j$ are positive for all j, and w^1 is positive. Taking into account the arguments above, we conclude that the system $\dot{x} = Ax$ is strongly eventually positive. ∎

Proof of Corollary 1: Let v and w be the right and left eigenvectors corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue λ_1 of A. According to Proposition 2, we need to show that there exists an invertible matrix S such that v, w lie in $\mathrm{int}(S\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$, or equivalently $S^{-1}v$ and $S^T w$ are positive. Without loss of generality, we assume that the first entry of w is nonzero. We can find a transformation S such that $w^T S = \mathbf{1}^T/n$ and $S\mathbf{1} = v$ as follows

$$S = I_n/n + \begin{pmatrix} v - \mathbf{1}/n & 0_{n\times n-1}\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\mathbf{1}-w)^T}{w(1)n} \\ 0_{n-1\times n}\end{pmatrix} + S_0,$$

where S_0 is a zero matrix except for one entry, where $S_0(1,1) = (-1/w(1) + w^T\mathbf{1}/(w(1)n))$. We verify the claim by direct calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
S\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}/n + v - \mathbf{1}/n + \frac{(\mathbf{1}-w)^T}{w(1)n}\mathbf{1} \\
+ (-1/w(1) + w^T\mathbf{1}/(w(1)n)) = v
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
w^T S = w^T/n + \begin{pmatrix} w^T v - \frac{w^T\mathbf{1}}{n} & 0_{1\times n-1}\end{pmatrix} + \\
\frac{(\mathbf{1}-w)^T}{n} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 + \frac{w^T\mathbf{1}}{n} & 0_{1\times n-1}\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}^T/n
\end{aligned}$$

In this case new dominant eigenvectors are $\widetilde{v} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\widetilde{w} = \mathbf{1}/n$. Since the eigenvalues do not change under the similarity transformation, the dominant eigenvalue of $S^{-1}AS$ is simple and real. The second statement is straightforward. ■